

INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION-MAKING

RECORD OF DECISION

PART A

DETAILS OF REPORT <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>							
Title of report	Quietway Cycling Route Proposals						
Decision-maker	Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Planning and Transport						
Earliest date when decision can be taken	05/01/15						
Key decision – Yes/No?	Yes						
Date published on forward plan	26/10/14						
Date sent to cabinet member	16/12/14						
Recommendation	<p>1. That the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Planning, and Transport:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - approves the implementation of the Quietway Cycling Route proposals for sites A to M – subject to statutory procedures - in accordance with the detailed recommendations highlighted in the below table: 						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Site</th> <th>Recommendation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td> <p>Rossetti Road / Stevenson Crescent</p> <p>Implement with revisions to the scheme to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Removal of footway buildouts at either end of the cycle path and introduction of raised asphalt junction tables at both Stevenson Crescent and Rossetti Road, with tightened kerb corner radii. b) Change of junction priority at Stevenson Crescent and Rossetti Road so that the Quietway route takes precedence over adjacent side roads. c) Introduction of an additional Dutch style double hump on the cycle track and repositioning the humps on approach to the pedestrian footpaths crossing either end of the cycle track. </td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td> <p>Stevenson Crescent / Abercorn Way</p> <p>Implement without amendment</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Site	Recommendation	A	<p>Rossetti Road / Stevenson Crescent</p> <p>Implement with revisions to the scheme to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Removal of footway buildouts at either end of the cycle path and introduction of raised asphalt junction tables at both Stevenson Crescent and Rossetti Road, with tightened kerb corner radii. b) Change of junction priority at Stevenson Crescent and Rossetti Road so that the Quietway route takes precedence over adjacent side roads. c) Introduction of an additional Dutch style double hump on the cycle track and repositioning the humps on approach to the pedestrian footpaths crossing either end of the cycle track. 	B	<p>Stevenson Crescent / Abercorn Way</p> <p>Implement without amendment</p>
Site	Recommendation						
A	<p>Rossetti Road / Stevenson Crescent</p> <p>Implement with revisions to the scheme to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Removal of footway buildouts at either end of the cycle path and introduction of raised asphalt junction tables at both Stevenson Crescent and Rossetti Road, with tightened kerb corner radii. b) Change of junction priority at Stevenson Crescent and Rossetti Road so that the Quietway route takes precedence over adjacent side roads. c) Introduction of an additional Dutch style double hump on the cycle track and repositioning the humps on approach to the pedestrian footpaths crossing either end of the cycle track. 						
B	<p>Stevenson Crescent / Abercorn Way</p> <p>Implement without amendment</p>						

	C	Abercorn Way / Oxley Close Implement without amendment	
	D	Oxley Close / Chaucer Drive Implement without amendment	
	E	Dunton Road / Lynton Road / Willow Walk Junction Implement without amendment	
	F	Pages Walk / Harold Estate Implement without amendment. Use of ANPR enforcement cameras to protect against possible misuse by motorcycles	
	G	Webb Street Implement without amendment as far as TLRN (red route) boundary	
	H	Rothsay Street Section A Not to be implemented at this time Section B Implement without amendment	
	I	Tabard Street Implement without amendment	
	J	i) Globe Street Implement without amendment ii) Trinity Street Implement as per consultation with following amendment: the amendments to the Trinity Street barrier will be undertaken for a trial period of 12 months, using ANPR cameras to gauge compliance by motorcycles and to enforce the closure of this road by issuing Penalty Charge Notices, and to assess the practicality for disabled cyclists and other users of non-standard bikes.	
	K	Great Suffolk Street and Borough High Street Junction Implement without amendment	

	L	Great Suffolk Street / Southwark Bridge Road Junction Implement without amendment
	M	Webber Street and Blackfriars Road Junction Implement without amendment
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Notes that parts of the works require a traffic management order and that if any objections to the related statutory consultation cannot be informally resolved, then consideration of those objections and a decision on whether to proceed with that part of the scheme will be subject to a further IDM report to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Planning, and Transport. - Notes that the works to the junction between Rothsay Street, Tower Bridge Road and Webb Street will not be implemented as per consultation as the present time. Works at this junction (which is within the remit of Transport for London) are subject to further review and will be implemented by Transport for London. 		

ORIGINATING AUTHOR'S DETAILS <i>(Officers to complete this section prior to issuing to cabinet member)</i>	
Lead officer (Name and job title)	Deborah Collins, Strategic Director Environment and Leisure
Report author (Name and job title)	Des Waters, Head of Public Realm
Contact Number	020 7525 6737

PART B
(Cabinet member to complete this section)

DECISION(S)
Agree recommendation. I have also requested that officers provide me with a post implementation report, to detail non-compliance with the traffic management order to better understand any need for additional enforcement at the junction of Trinity Street and the surrounding area.

REASONS FOR DECISION
As in report.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED
As in report.

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

Since publication of the decision, I received correspondence relating to ANPR technology being used to monitor compliance with the Trinity Street barrier; cycling speeds on Trinity Street; extent of local engagement with the consultation on the proposals for the Quietway sites; and regarding potential use of additional cameras in the area to better understand compliance in the area surrounding Great Dover Street/Swan Street and Trinity Street.

ANPR technology will be used to ensure compliance with the barriers at Trinity Street. With regards to the request for additional cameras in the area, we do not intend to put any additional cameras in now. I will ask that officers provide me with a report post implementation of this decision, to detail non-compliance with the traffic management order, to better understand any need for additional enforcement.

ADDITIONAL ADVICE RECEIVED

As in report.

ANY INTERESTS DECLARED

Note: If the decision-maker has a disclosable pecuniary interest in the matter the report must be referred to the full cabinet for decision.

Where a cabinet member may discharge a function alone and becomes aware of a disclosable pecuniary interest in a matter being dealt with or to be dealt with by her/him, the cabinet member must notify the monitoring officer of the interest within 28 days and must not take any steps or further steps in the matter.

If a member is unsure as to whether an interest is a disclosable pecuniary interest they should contact the governance team for advice.

None.

DECLARATION

I ~~approve/reject~~ the recommendations set out in the report.*

~~or~~

I ~~approved an alternative course of action set out in Part B.*~~

~~or~~

I ~~have referred this matter to the Full Cabinet for decision.*~~

(* - Please delete as appropriate)

Signed.....



..... Dated.....6 January 2015.....

Cabinet Member

Please return completed hard copy of the form to Ian Millichap, Constitutional Team, 160 Tooley Street, P O BOX 64529, London, SE1P 5LX – tel: 020 7525 7225 fax: 020 7525 7284.

Seeking advice

You should seek advice from the relevant officer on a number of occasions:

- (a) If you wish to consider alternative options
- (b) If you are considering rejecting the proposals

Otherwise it is at your discretion when you should seek further advice and you should do so when you consider it appropriate.